

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/726,613	1	10/07/1996	JAMES M. MORI	50184	9323	
21874	7590	11/03/2005	•	EXAMINER		
EDWARD		ELL, LLP		CHU, JOHN S Y		
	.O. BOX 55874 OSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		-		1752		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	08/726,613	MORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John S. Chu	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB,	CATION.  Seply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this commandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	lay 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		·	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4-15,18-20,22-27 and 47-82</u> is/are	pending in the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>78-82</u> is/are allowed.	·		,			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4-14,18-20,22-26 and 47-78</u> is/are	rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>15,27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	oplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Sta	age			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		· <b>-</b> /			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the RCE filed May 21, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 1, 4-9,18-20,22-26,47-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly 2. anticipated by JAGANNATHAN ET AL.

The claimed invention is drawn to a photoresist composition, an article of manufacture and a method of forming a photoresist image.

Claim 1 recites a photoresist composition comprising a polymer binder, a photoactive component and a dye compound that contains anthracene groups, said dye being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5,000.

Claim 16 recites a composition similar to claim 1 used in a method of forming a photoresist relief image, wherein the composition is coated on a substrate exposed and developed.

Claim 10 recites photoresist composition comprising a resin binder, a photoactive component, and a polymer dye that contains one or more polycyclic chromophores, said chromophores being selected from the group consisting of phenanthryl, acridine, quinolinyl and ring substituted quinolinyl.

Art Unit: 1752

Claim 70 recites a composition similar to claim 1 used in a method of forming a photoresist relief image, wherein the composition is coated on a substrate exposed and developed.

Claim 20 recites an article of manufacture comprising a substrate that is an integrated circuit substrate or a flat panel display having coated thereon a photoresist composition comprising a resin binder, a photoactive component and a polymeric dye that contains one or more polycyclic chromophores, said dye compound being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5, 000.

Claim 22 recites a photoresist composition comprising a resin binder, a photoacid generator compound and a polymeric dye that contains one or more polycyclic chromophores, said dye compound being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5,000.

Claim 50 recites a method using the compositions as recited in claims 20 and 22.

Claim 18 recites an article of manufacture comprising a polymer binder, a photoactive component and a dye that contains anthracene groups, said dye compound being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5,000.

JAGANNATHAN ET AL discloses a polymer-bound sensitizer, which anticipates the claimed invention in column 5, Examples 4-8. The compositions in JAGANNATHAN ET AL recite the use of anthracene as one of several chromophores for the polymer-bound sensitizer, see column 3, lines 25-37 for the additional sensitizers suitable for the composition.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the rejection is repeated for the deficiencies as stated below by a lack of showing under 37 C.F.R. 1.608(b).

Art Unit: 1752

The JAGANNATAN reference is a U.S. patent or U.S. patent application
publication of a pending or patented application that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit
or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same
patentable invention, see MPEP § 2306. If the reference and this application are not commonly
owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through
interference proceedings.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-14, 18-20, 22-26, and 47-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JAGANNATHAN ET AL

The claimed invention has been recited above and is included by reference.

JAGANNATHAN ET AL has been discussed for the disclosure of the photoresist composition having a polymer-bound sensitizer, which anticipates the claimed invention.

JAGANNATHAN ET AL lacks the explicit disclosure for the use of phenanthryl as recited in claims 10 and 70, however the use of isomeric compounds as alternative choices is conventional to the art, such that it is *prima facie* obvious to the skilled artisan to use isomers of anthracenyl, such as phenanthryl and reasonably expect the same or similar results for deep UV absorption in a photoresist composition.

Motivation is based on the desire to have a photoresist composition, which is sensitive to the deep UV part of the spectrum without the sublimation of the sensitizer.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the examiner restates the rejection wherein the isomeric compounds are seen as obvious over one another and thus phenanthryl can be seen as obvious over anthracene unless shown to be different by applicant through comparative data.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the rejection is repeated for the deficiencies as stated below by a lack of showing under 37 C.F.R. 1.608(b).

The JAGANNATAN reference is a U.S. patent or U.S. patent application publication of a pending or patented application that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same patentable invention, see MPEP § 2306 and M.P.E.P. 715. If the reference and this application are not commonly owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through interference proceedings.

5. Claims 15 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the prior art references disclose the specified chromophore as recited in claims 15 and 17.

6. Claims 79-82 are allowed.

None of the prior art references of record disclose the claimed polymer dye compound as seen in claim 79. Rule 1.126 states that the "When claims are added, they must be numbered by

Application/Control Number: 08/726,613 Page 6

Art Unit: 1752

the applicant consecutively beginning wit the number next following the highest numbered claim previously presented...". New claims 80-83 as added by applicant have been renumbered as claims 79-82 per Rule 1.126.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the USPTO is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John S. Chu Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu October 31, 2005